XES Synergix 8830 Digital Solution & Synergix 8825 Digital Solution
4 Star Rating – Highly Recommended

- Bertl Digital Test lab tested the 8825 & 8830 against the Kip Starprint 2003, Starprint 4000 and Oce TDS 400, TDS 600
- XES products received a worldwide rating of 4 stars, this was the highest rating of the 3 companies taking part in the evaluation. Synergix outperformed Oce, which received only a 3 star rating. Kip received 4 stars, but only in the US marketplace, the Synergix rating was worldwide. Bertl found that the Synergix products were extremely user friendly and were of a very high quality across all aspects of performance. Their report included the following quotes:

"The copy scanner was the second simplest to use of all 6 tested. Copier control panel which was similar to a photocopier control panel and simple to use"
"Paper is simple to load"
"All current software was easy to use and follow"
"Job submission tools are fairly simple to use"

- In addition, Bertl rated the AccXES web server as:

"Server Web Support was the most extensive out of all the products"

- The Oce TDS 400 received the lowest rating on image quality for fine line reproduction. Synergix came in third place. Below is Bertl's write-up on the Oce TDS 400:

"Despite having the highest resolution (600dpi), the TDS 400 had the lowest image quality on this test. The intersection of horizontal and vertical lines was completely black/ blocked. Parallel lines were only just discernible due to over wide lines and toner spray"

- The Kip Starprint 2003 received the lowest rating on image quality for printing plans and mixed detail. Synergix came in third place. Below is Bertl's write-up on the Kip Starprint 2003:

"The overall appearance was pleasant, but fine detail was produced with lines/text that was too thin and difficult to read. On some shaded areas text was illegible and/or broke up. Small characters and lines were not always formed properly /evenly."

- Two sets of five collated 36" architectural drawings were produced and timed on all systems. Following is the comparison between Oce and Synergix. The time is recorded by pages and total job:

Synergix 8830 & 8825: 69 seconds

Oce TDS 400: 112 seconds

Oce TDS 600: 93 seconds

- The TDS 400 paper feed was found to be awkward to load and changing of toner was messy.

"The lower paper tray requires you to get on your knees and push a heavy roll of paper up"

"Oce waste toner removal is dirty and messy"

"The waste toner is a bag and allows waste toner to spray out of the machine and contaminate the surrounding area."